# PATRICK BROMPTON PARISH COUNCIL

# MINUTES OF THE EXTRAORDINARY MEETING HELD ON MONDAY 29 JANUARY 2018

#### **Present**

Councillor A Bull (Chairman)
Councillor R Hudson
Councillor R Ormston
Councillor P Philpott
Councillor G Shepherd

J MacNamara (Clerk)
18 Parishioners were also present
The Applicant & The Agent were also present

097.2018 Apologies and Reasons for Absence

There were no apologies.

**098.2018** To receive **Declarations of Interest** in items on the agenda

Cllr Ormston raised the point that he was a member of the Richmondshire District Council Planning Committee.

099.2018

Planning Application - Application Reference 17/00875/FULL

Full Planning Permission for Proposed 9 No Timber holiday Lodges With Access at Dalesend, Patrick Brompton, North Yorkshire, DL8 1JL

The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting and outlined that he had invited the Applicant and the Agent to provide an overview of the proposed planning application.

The Chair invited the Applicant and Agent to make their presentation.

The Applicant – Mr Charles Ropner gave an overview of the proposed development and welcomed the opportunity to provide more information on the

## PATRICK BROMPTON PARISH COUNCIL

Parish Clerk: Jon MacNamara Flat 1, Friars Gate, Friars Wynd, Richmond, N Yorkshire, DL10 4RT

> t: 01748 451 130 m: 07495 458 173

e: charliehart.pb@gmail.com w: http://www.patrickbrompton.com/parish-council.html

#### PATRICK BROMPTON PARISH COUNCIL

application which he had lodged with the District Council.

Martin Wooley the Agent for the development and Landscape Architect gave a detailed presentation on the plan which had been submitted.

The Agent also went into detailed explanation on how the planning process worked and what was a legitimate objection to a proposed scheme.

The Agent explained that the proposed scheme was a two phase development and that detailed consideration had been given to protect the viewpoints at three specific angles when planning the development, but stressed that in planning terms "no one has the right to a view".

The Agent explained that 4 of the proposed lodges were below eye level and that additional screening formed part of the proposed development and that within 5-years very little of the development would be seen by the neighbouring community.

Following the presentation the Chairman invited members of the Parish Council to ask questions.

Members of the Parish Council sought reassurances with regards to issues concerning the views and the effect on neighbouring residents as well as the impact concerning noise from the development.

The Chairman invited questions from Members of the Public in attendance at the meeting.

There were 21 contributions from members of the public on issues concerning screening, noise, visual impact, proposed economic benefits, drainage, parking, proposed materials and public benefit.

As part of the discussion a member of the public invited Councillors to their property to view the impact that the proposed development would have on their property.

The Agent throughout the discussion sought to reassure members of the public and explained that whilst he felt the proposed development would have the least impact on the community he would agree to look at the siting of

### PATRICK BROMPTON PARISH COUNCIL

the lodges to alleviate resident concerns.

Following the questions, the Chairman invited comments from members of the Parish Council.

As part of the discussion it was agreed that the Parish Council would neither support or object to the proposed development.

However, the Parish Council were keen that comments made by the members of the public were taken on board by the Agent and Applicant. The Parish Council were particularly keen that suggestions on how the development could be improved to mitigate the impact to local residents be further considered by the Agent and Applicant.

It was also felt that more appropriate screening should be considered to protect the visual impact.

The Chairman thanked the Applicant and Agent and all of the Members of the Public for their attendance.

#### **100.2018** Closure

There being no further business the meeting was declared closed at 20:55.